

Pre-Surgical Ocular Surface Disease Management in Cataract and Refractive Patients



BY DEBORAH RISTVEDT, DO

Millions of Americans undergo cataract or refractive surgery each year in hopes of achieving clear uncorrected vision.^{1,2} While these procedures offer many patients an improved quality of life, one of the most common complaints from patients postoperatively is ocular surface irritation or dryness.³⁻⁶ While there are several risk factors for developing postoperative dry eye, pre-existing undiagnosed and/or untreated preoperative dry eye is common. In the refractive surgery population, 18 to 38% of LASIK patients and 48% of photorefractive keratectomy patients report preoperative dry eye symptoms.⁷⁻⁹ In the cataract surgery population, the prevalence of preoperative dry eye varies widely depending on the diagnosis criteria. Based on traditional diagnostic tests, Trattler et al reported that 18% of patients have an abnormal Schirmer's score, 63% have decreased tear breakup time (≤ 5 seconds), and 77% have corneal staining.¹⁰ In relation to meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD), Cochener et al reported that 54% of patients had poor meibomian gland expression and 56% of patients had gland atrophy.¹¹ Finally, with respect to ocular surface inflammation, Gupta et al reported that 56.7% had abnormal tear osmolarity and 63.3% tested positive for matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-9), while 40% were positive for both tests.¹² Interestingly, among asymptomatic patients, 71% had compromised gland function or morphology, and 48% had abnormal tear osmolarity and tested positive for MMP-9.^{11,12} Unfortunately, many of these asymptomatic patients

often go undiagnosed and untreated in a preoperative workup.

TEAR FILM IMPACT ON BIOMETRY MEASUREMENTS

A stable tear film is important for optimizing preoperative biometry measurements. Some of these instruments rely on light projections and reflections off of the tear film.¹³ Because the air-tear interface contributes to the eye's refractive power, an unstable tear film typical of a dry eye patient can produce variable biometry measurements compared to healthy eyes.¹⁴

Epitropoulos et al found significantly more variability in average keratometry, and anterior corneal astigmatism was observed in hyperosmolar patients (> 316 mOsm/L in at least one eye) compared to normal patients (< 308 mOsm/L in both eyes) ($P = .05$), with significant resultant differences in IOL power calculations ($P = .02$).¹⁵ The authors suggest that measurement of tear osmolarity at the time of cataract surgery planning can effectively identify patients with a greater risk of high unexpected refractive error resulting from inaccurate keratometry. Matossian reported that eyelid thermal pulsation treatment prior to cataract surgery significantly changed delta-K (difference in horizontal and vertical keratometry values) and axis compared to pre-thermal pulsation treatment ($P < .001$).¹⁶ The results changed planned interventions for astigmatism correction in 40% of cases. Tear film irregularities, as evidenced by these studies, can impact preoperative biometry measurements, and, ultimately, IOL power calculations.

PREOPERATIVE DRY EYE DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT

Due to the relatively high prevalence of dry eye disease (DED) in the presurgical population and the potential impact of untreated DED on preoperative measurements, there is a growing interest among surgeons to diagnose and treat DED patients preoperatively. The American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery (ASCRS) Cornea Clinical Committee developed the Preoperative Ocular Surface Disease Algorithm designed for use in a preoperative setting with an emphasis and reliance on objective, non-invasive tests that can be performed by technicians.¹⁷ The rationale is that preoperative visits already involve significant, often technician-driven refractive and biometric testing, so the algorithm would save eye care providers time.

For screening, both the Tear Film and Ocular Surface Dry Eye Workshop (DEWS) II and ASCRS recommend questionnaires to assess presence and severity of symptoms, as well as clues for underlying etiologies.^{17,18} ASCRS recommends point-of-care testing to assess tear osmolarity and MMP-9 levels, and, if positive, more extensive clinical testing.¹⁷ DEWS II recommends screening patients with tear breakup time, tear osmolarity, and/or ocular surface staining (cornea, conjunctiva, and eyelid margin) with fluorescein or lissamine green. Evaluating for MGD, including gland secretion quality and quantity, and tear volume will help to further classify the DED as either aqueous-deficient or evaporative dry eye.¹⁹

Treatment options have been discussed extensively in the DEWS II report, as well

as the International Workshop on MGD, but if visually-significant ocular surface disease is diagnosed during a preoperative cataract or refractive workup, an aggressive management approach is recommended by the ASCRS group.¹⁷ The goal is to address ocular discomfort, MGD, aqueous production deficiency, and inflammation simultaneously to minimize the time to surgery. Artificial tears, such as SYSTANE COMPLETE (Alcon), are the first line of therapy for providing immediate symptom relief.²⁰ Heat and expression are the mainstay treatments for MGD.²¹ While they can be performed separately, thermal pulsation treatments, such as iLUX (Alcon), allow eyelid heating and directly visualized gland expression to optimize clearance of meibomian gland ducts. Omega-3 supplements may also be considered to improve meibum quality and/or quantity.²¹ Finally, aqueous deficiency and ocular surface inflammation may be treated with topical immunomodulators, topical corticosteroids, or oral antibiotics.²⁰ The ASCRS Preoperative Ocular Surface Disease Algorithm offers a comprehensive discussion on treatment options for preoperative DED patients.¹⁷

CONCLUSION

Approximately half of cataract and refractive surgery patients have symptoms and/or signs of DED, and over half of asymptomatic patients present with signs suggestive of DED.¹⁰⁻¹² Algorithms provided by ASCRS and TFOS DEWS II help to facilitate the diagnosis and treatment process for DED, including MGD, and can be used to optimize patient comfort and ocular surface health before surgery. ■

1. iData Research. Over 3.8 Million Cataract Surgeries Performed Every Year - iData Research. iData Research - Intelligence Behind the Data. <https://idatasearch.com/over-3-8-million-cataract-surgeries-performed-every-year/>. Published 2018. Accessed November 11, 2019.
2. LASIK: Know the Rewards and the Risks. <https://www.webmd.com/eye-health/news/20180727/lasik-know-the-rewards-and-the-risks>. Accessed November 7, 2019.
3. Kasetuwan N, Satitpitakul V, Changul T, et al. Incidence and pattern of dry eye after cataract surgery. *Wedrich A, ed. PLoS One*. 2013;8:e78657. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078657.
4. Shehadeh-Mashor R, Mimouni M, Shapira Y, et al. Risk Factors for Dry Eye After Refractive Surgery. *Cornea*. 2019;38:1495-1499. doi:10.1097/ICO.0000000000002152.
5. Shoja MR, Besharati MR. Dry eye after LASIK for myopia: Incidence and risk factors. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2007;17:1-6. doi:10.1177/112067210701700101.
6. De Paiva CS, Chen Z, Koch DD, et al. The incidence and risk factors for developing dry eye after myopic LASIK. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2006;141:438-445. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2005.10.006.
7. Albiez JM, Lenton LM, McLennan SM. The effect of ocular surface management on myopic LASIK outcomes. *Cornea*. 2000;19. https://journals.lww.com/comeajml/Fulltext/2000/11002/THE_EFFECT_OF_OCULAR_SURFACE_MANAGEMENT_ON_MYOPIC.7.aspx.
8. Corbett MC, O'Brart DPS, Warburton FG, et al. Biologic and Environmental Risk Factors for Regression after Photorefractive Keratectomy. *Ophthalmology*. 1996;103:1381-1391. doi:10.1016/S0161-6420(96)30494-6.
9. Albiez JM, Lenton LM, McLennan SG, et al. Chronic dry eye and regression after laser in situ keratomileusis for myopia. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2004;30:675-684. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2003.07.003.
10. Trattler WB, Majumdar PA, Donnenfeld ED, et al. The Prospective Health Assessment of Cataract Patients' Ocular Surface (PHACO) study: the effect of dry eye. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2017;11:1423-1430. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S120159.
11. Cochener B, Cassan A, Omiel L. Prevalence of meibomian gland dysfunction at the time of cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2018;44:144-148. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2017.10.050.
12. Gupta PK, Drinkwater OJ, VanDusen KW, et al. Prevalence of ocular surface dysfunction in patients presenting for cataract surgery evaluation. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2018;44:1090-1096. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.06.026.
13. Holladay JT. Accurate Corneal Power Measurements for IOL Calculations. *Ocul Surg News US Ed*. 2016. <https://www.healio.com/ophthalmology/optics/news/print/ocular-surgery-news/967Bed417f32-c3e6-4418-a8ce-03f8e9110b75%7D/accurate-corneal-power-measurements-for-iol-calculations>.
14. Lawrenson JG. Anterior Eye. *Contact Lens Pract*. 2018;10:27.e2. doi:10.1016/B978-0-7020-6660-3.00002-2.
15. Epiropoulos AT, Matossian C, Berdy GJ, et al. Effect of tear osmolality on repeatability of keratometry for cataract surgery planning. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2015;41:1672-1677. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2015.01.016.
16. Matossian C. Effect of Thermal Pulsation System Treatment on Keratometry Measurements Prior to Cataract Surgery | ASCRS. In: American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery. 2019. <http://ascrs.org/resources/abstracts/effect-thermal-pulsation-system-treatment-keratometry-measurements-prior-ataract-surgery>. Accessed October 20, 2019.
17. Starr CE, Gupta PK, Farid M, et al. An algorithm for the preoperative diagnosis and treatment of ocular surface disorders. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2019;45:669-684. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2019.03.023.
18. Wolffsohn JS, Arita R, Chalmers R, et al. TFOS DEWS II Diagnostic Methodology report. *Ocul Surf*. 2017;15(3):539-574.
19. Tomlinson A, Bron AJ, Korb DR, et al. The international workshop on meibomian gland dysfunction: report of the diagnosis subcommittee. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52(4):2006-2049.
20. Jones L, Downie LE, Korb D, et al. TFOS DEWS II Management and Therapy Report. *Ocul Surf*. 2017;15:575-628. doi:10.1016/j.jtos.2017.05.006.
21. Geerling G, Tauber J, Baudouin C, et al. The international workshop on meibomian gland dysfunction: report of the subcommittee on management and treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52:2050-2064. doi:10.1167/iovs.10-6997g.

DEBORAH RISTVEDT, DO

- Ophthalmologist, Vance Thompson Vision, Alexandria, Minnesota
- Deborah.ristvedt@vancethompsonvision.com
- Financial disclosures: Lecture Fees (Allergan, Glaukos, Sight Sciences)

IMPORTANT PRODUCT INFORMATION

Indication: The iLUX® Device is indicated for the application of localized heat and pressure therapy in adult patients with chronic disease of the eyelids, including Meibomian Gland Dysfunction (MGD), also known as evaporative dry eye.

Contraindications:

Do NOT use the iLUX® Device in patients with the following conditions: Patients whose pupils have been pharmaceutically dilated; patients who have undergone ocular surgery within prior 12 months; patients with ocular injury or trauma, chemical burns, or limbal stem cell deficiency (within prior 3 months); patients with active ocular herpes zoster or simplex of eye or eyelid or a history of these within prior 3 months; patients with cicatricial lid margin disease; patients

with active ocular infection, active ocular inflammation or history of chronic, recurrent ocular inflammation within prior 3 months; patients with an ocular surface abnormality that may compromise corneal integrity; patients with lid surface abnormalities that affect lid function in either eye; patients with aphakia; or patients with permanent makeup or tattoos on their eyelids.

Warnings/Precautions:

Federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a licensed healthcare practitioner.

The Disposable may not fit all eyes, such as eyes with small palpebral fornices. Use of the iLUX® device is NOT recommended in patients with the following conditions: moderate to severe allergic, vernal or giant papillary conjunctivitis; severe eyelid

inflammation; systemic disease conditions that cause dry eye; in patients who are taking medications known to cause dryness; or patients with punctal plugs.

Potential Adverse Reactions:

Potential adverse effects may include eyelid/eye pain requiring discontinuation of the treatment procedure, eyelid irritation or inflammation, temporary reddening of the skin, ocular surface irritation or inflammation (e.g., corneal abrasion, conjunctive edema or conjunctival injection (hyperemia)), and ocular symptoms (e.g., burning, stinging, tearing, itching, discharge, redness, foreign body sensation, visual disturbance, sensitivity to light).

Attention: Please refer to the User Manual for a complete list of contraindications, instructions for use, warnings and precautions for the iLUX® Device