Detecting Subclinical Keratoconus

Advanced detection schemes are necessary to identify the early stages of this disorder.

BY JENS BÜHREN, MD

Corneal topography is the gold standard for the diagnosis of keratoconus (KC). It shows typical patterns associated with the advanced stages of KC that are easy for clinicians to recognize (Figure 1). Detecting the subclinical stage of KC remains a challenge, because patients are typically asymptomatic until the later stages of the disorder. As a result, clinicians often miss subtleties in corneal topography patterns of eyes with subclinical KC.

IDENTIFYING SENSITIVE AND SPECIFIC METRICS

Since the introduction of corneal topography, numerous attempts have been made to establish automated algorithms for detecting KC. In the technology’s early days, the metrics were based almost exclusively on keratometric data.2-4 Wavefront and pachymetry have also been used to analyze KC. Although aberrometric (whole-eye wavefront) data lack the accuracy to detect early KC,5 data from a Zernike decomposition of the anterior and posterior corneal surfaces weighted by linear discriminant analysis resulted in highly sensitive and specific metrics that distinguished between normal eyes and those with subclinical KC.6 7

Study Results

My colleagues and I compared the ability of conventional and wavefront-based metrics to detect subclinical KC. Our study included 16 eyes with subclinical KC (ie, the clinically normal fellow eyes of eyes with early KC) and 121 normal eyes. All patients were examined with the Orbscan IIz topography system (Bausch + Lomb). The following metrics, as introduced by Rabinowitz and Rasheed,4 were computed based on axial-keratometric data: central keratometry (cK), astigmatism (AST), inferior-superior keratometric difference (I-S), skew of the steepest axes index (SRAX), the KISA%
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We performed a Zernike decomposition of corneal first-surface wavefront (1st-7th order, 6-mm pupillary diameter) and computed corneal vertical coma ($C_{3}^{-1}$) and a discriminant function from corneal Zernike coefficients (wavefront metrics). We assessed the ability of the metrics to discriminate between eyes with subclinical KC and normal eyes using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. For conventional metrics, both published and optimized critical (cut-off) values were tested. The optimized value equaled the cut-off value that yielded maximum accuracy for discrimination between the groups.

When we applied the Rabinowitz-McDonnell test ($cK > 47.00$ D and $I-S > 1.40$ D), $I-S$ alone ($> 1.40$ D) and KISA% ($\log\text{KISA} > 1.78$) lacked sensitivity. Adjusting critical values using ROC curve analysis improved discriminative ability. When the critical value for $I-S$ was lowered to 0.55 D, sensitivity was 81.3% at a specificity of 96.7% ($A_{Z\text{ROC}} = 0.947$; Figure 2). Even after adjustment, $cK$ alone failed to reasonably classify the two groups of eyes ($A_{cK\text{ROC}} = 0.716$). The KISA% index had an area under the ROC curve of 0.737, indicating a rather mediocre discriminative ability.

At a critical value of more than 0.84 log units, 87.5% of eyes with subclinical KC were diagnosed correctly, but specificity was only 60.3%. Weighting the KISA% components by discriminant analysis (DKISA) significantly improved discriminative ability ($A_{\text{ROC}} = 0.957$; sensitivity, 99.2%; specificity, 81.3%). $C_{3}^{-1}$ was the single metric with the highest discriminative ability ($A_{C_{3}^{-1}\text{ROC}} = 0.98$; sensitivity, 94.1%; specificity, 96.7%). In a recent validation study, we confirmed the critical value of less than $-0.2 \mu\text{m}$ (6-mm pupillary diameter, wavefront mode) obtained from training datasets ($A_{cK\text{ROC}} = 0.87$; sensitivity, 68.8%; specificity, 95.6%).

Our results suggest that the construction of discriminant functions allows the combination of different characteristics of corneal shape (as represented by Zernike polynomials and their correspondent coefficients) in a single metric to differentiate between normal eyes and those with subclinical KC. The discriminant function based on corneal first-surface Zernike coefficients showed the highest discriminative ability of all metrics ($A_{Z\text{ROC}} = 0.993$) and correctly recognized all eyes with KC (specificity, 93.4%). Sensitivity and specificity of the different metrics compared in the study are displayed in Figure 3. If threshold values such as $I-S$ and KISA% are lowered, for example, the results show that conventional keratometry-based metrics are capable of detecting subclinical KC.

Scheimpflug-based corneal tomography illustrates information about the cornea’s anterior and posterior surfaces (topography) and spatially resolved pachymetry data. Numerous studies have shown that these parameters provide valuable information for the detection of early and subclinical KC. A discriminant function that was based
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**Figure 2.** If the critical cut-off value were lowered from 1.40 to 0.55 D (blue lines), 81.3% of eyes with subclinical KC could be detected with a minor reduction in specificity from 100% to 96.7%.

**Figure 3.** A comparison of optimum sensitivity and specificity of different conventional and wavefront-based metrics for the detection of subclinical KC.
on anterior, posterior, and pachymetry data had the highest discriminative ability of all metrics tested (A ROC = 1).\textsuperscript{7} In a new validation dataset (J.B., unpublished data, 2013), A ROC was lower with 0.857 (sensitivity, 83.6%; specificity, 92.2%), but still outperformed other metrics.

**Advanced Classification Methods Are Needed**

On the other hand, the risk of post-LASIK keratectasia cannot be detected by simply applying the same criteria and functions designed to detect subclinical KC. However, if corneal Zernike coefficients were weighted with newly generated discriminant function coefficients, discriminative ability was excellent.\textsuperscript{11} These findings show that flexible classification schemes are needed to build an algorithm that detects eyes with subclinical KC and eyes that are at risk for ectasia. A study is currently underway at our institution investigating the application of advanced statistical classification methods to detect both disorders.

A promising approach for detecting subclinical KC are multimodal models that integrate data from the front and back surfaces of the cornea, pachymetry, biomechanical properties, and anthropomorphic data (eg, age, gender, and ethnicity) into a single metric or apply machine learning algorithms that are capable of automatically detecting KC or ectasia risk based on a set of multiple input data.

**FOR THE CLINIC**

For clinical use, I recommend the I-S value or primary vertical coma. An eye is suspicious for KC if \( C_3^{\text{av}} \) is smaller than -0.2 µm (6-mm pupillary diameter and wavefront mode) or if the I-S value is greater than 0.55 D (Table). Eyes afflicted with KC or at risk for ectasia should eventually be evaluated with an approach that includes clinical data and by a physician who has experience with KC. For borderline cases in which the data are not conclusive, a follow-up visit might be helpful, and modern KC detection metrics can facilitate the diagnosis of early KC.
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**TABLE. METRICS FOR DETECTING SUBCLINICAL KERATOCONUS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>A ROC</th>
<th>Cutoff Value (Keratoconus if …)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-S value</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>&gt; 0.55 D</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KISA% index</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>&gt; 0.84 log units</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_3^{\text{av}} ) (anterior, 6-mm pupillary diameter, wavefront mode)</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>≤ -0.2</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: A ROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; I-S value, inferior-superior keratometric difference; KISA% index, compound index from central keratometry, I-S value, skew of the steepest radial axes index and keratometric astigmatism; \( C_3^{\text{av}} \), corneal vertical coma.*
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Flexible classification schemes are needed to build an algorithm that detects eyes with subclinical KC and eyes that are at risk for ectasia.”
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